arXiv:0812.0619v1 [math.PR] 2 Dec 2008

Fast approximation of solutions of SDE's with oblique reflection on an orthant

Krzysztof T. Czarkowski (Toruń)*

December 2, 2008

Abstract

We consider the discrete "fast" penalization scheme for SDE's driven by general semimartingale on orthant $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with oblique reflection.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60 H 20; Secondary 60 H 99.

1 Introduction

Suppose we have a d-dimensional semimartingale $Z = (Z^1, \ldots, Z^d)^T$, a Lipschitz continuous function $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, and a nonnegative $d \times d$ matrix Q with zeros on the diagonal and spectral radius $\rho(Q)$ strictly less than 1. Consider a d-dimension stochastic differential equation (SDE) on orthant $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with oblique reflection:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-})dZ_s + (1 - Q^T)K_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{1.1}$$

Equation of this type (1.1) was introduced by Harrison and Reiman [9]. Later it was discussed by Dupis and Ishi [5]. Czarkowski and Słomiński [3] in their paper introduced a numerical scheme for approximation of solution of SDE (1.1). In this paper we will define a new numerical scheme, see: Section 3 (3.1). For this scheme (or it's equivalent form (3.3)), in Section 5, we will proove, that:

$$E \sup_{s \le t} |X^n_s - X_s|^{2p} = \mathcal{O}((\frac{\ln n}{n})^p)$$

Appendix A includes a description of some properties of Π_Q projection on the orthant $\mathbb{R}^d_+$.

Throughout the paper we assume that $\rho^n_t = \max\{i/n; i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, i/n \le t\}$ and $Z^{(n)}_t$ is a discretization of Z, ie. $Z^{(n)}_t = Z_{\rho^n_t}$; $\longrightarrow_{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes convergence

---

*e-mai: kczark@mat.umk.pl

in probability; $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes space of *"cadlag"* function $y : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$; $\Delta y_t = y_t - y_{t-}$ and $\omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0,t])$ denotes modulus of continuity of y on $[0,t]$.

Let us define function: $[z]^+ = \max\{z, 0\}$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and by analogy function for $z = (z^1, \dots, z^d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $[z]^+ = \left([z^1]^+, \dots, [z^d]^+\right)^T$. We will use norm $\|Q\| = \max_{1 \le i \le d} \sum_{j=1}^d q_{ij}$.

2 The Skorokhod problem on an orthant

Let Q be a nonnegative matrix with zeros on the diagonal and spectral radius $\rho(Q) < 1$ and let $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$. Following Harrison and Reiman [9] a pair $(x, k) \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is called a solution to the Skorokhod problem

$$x_t = y_t + (I - Q^T)k_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{2.1}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ associated with y, if (2.1) is satisfied and

$$x_t \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$k^j \text{ is nondecreasing, } k^j_0 = 0 \text{ and } \int_0^t x^j_s \, dk^j_s = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d,\ t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Remark 1 *1. For every $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ exist a unique solution (x_t, k_t) of the Skorokhod problem.*

2. If additionally $\|Q\| < 1$ then k_t satisfy equation

$$k_t = F(k)_t, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$F(u)_t = \sup_{s \le t} [Q^T u_s - y_s]^+.$$

In this paper, like in [9] and [3], we make a technical assumption that:

$$\|Q\| < 1. \tag{2.3}$$

3 Fast approximation scheme

Let (x, k) be a solution to the Skorokhod problem for $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, with $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the approximations (x^n, k^n) of (x, k):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} k^n_0 & = & 0, \quad x^n_0 = y_0, \\ k^n_{(i+1)/n} & = & [Q^T k^n_{i/n} - y_{(i+1)/n}]^+ \vee k^n_{i/n}, \\ x^n_{(i+1)/n} & = & y_{(i+1)/n} + (I - Q^T) k^n_{(i+1)/n}, \\ k^n_t & = & k^n_{i/n}, \quad x^n_t = x^n_{i/n}, \text{ for } t \in [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}). \end{array} \right. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 2 *We can write another, but equivalent form of* k^n, x^n.
Note that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$*:*

$$\begin{aligned}(3.2)\qquad k^n_{(i+1)/n} &= [(Q^T - I)k^n_i - y_{(i+1)/n}]^+ + k^n_{i/n} \\ &= [-(x^n_{i/n} + \Delta y_{(i+1)/n})]^+ + k^n_{i/n}, \\ (3.3)\qquad x^n_{(i+1)/n} &= x^n_{i/n} + \Delta y_{(i+1)/n} + (I - Q^T)[-x^n_{i/n} - \Delta y_{(i+1)/n}]^+,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta y_{(i+1)/n} = y_{(i+1)/n} - y_{i/n}$.

Formulas (3.1) and (3.3) are equivalent, but (3.3) is simpler to calculate.

Remark 3 *We can see that* k^n_t *satisfy equation:*

$$(3.4)\qquad k^n_t = F^n(k^{n,(n-)})_t,$$

where $F^n(u)_t = \sup_{s\le t}[Q^T u_s - y^{(n)}_s]^+$ *and* $u^{(n-)}_t = u_{(i-1)/n}, \quad t \in [i/n, (i+1)/n)$.

The next two theorems describe some properties of scheme (3.1).

Theorem 4 *There exist a constant* $\mathcal{C} > 0$ *depending only on* Q *such that for every* $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$*:*

$$(3.5)\qquad \sup_{s\le t}|x^n_s - x_s| + \sup_{s\le t}|k^n_s - k_s| \le \mathcal{C}\omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0,t]).$$

Proof. Since $\sup_{s\le t}|x^n_s - x_s| < ||Q|| \sup_{s\le t}|k^n_s - k_s| + \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0,t])$ we estimate only first term i.e. $\sup_{s\le t}|k^n_s - k_s|$.

We assumed that (2.3) is satisfied, that means that $\|Q\| < 1$.

Firstly we proof (3.5). From Remarks 1 and 3:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s\le t}|k^n_s - k_s| = \sup_{s\le t}|F^n(k^{n,(n-)})_s - F(k)_s| \\ \le\ & \sup_{s\le t}|F^n(k^{n,(n-)})_s - F^n(k^n)_s| + \sup_{s\le t}|F^n(k^n)_s - F(k^n)_s| \\ & + \sup_{s\le t}|F(k^n)_s - F(k)_s| \\ =\ & I^1_t + I^2_t + I^3_t \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate every part separately:

$$\begin{aligned} I^1_t &= \sup_{s\le t}|F^n(k^{n,(n-)})_s - F^n(k^n)_s| \le ||Q|| \max_{\frac{i}{n}\le t}|k^n_{(i-1)/n} - k^n_{i/n}| \\ &\le ||Q||^2 \max_{\frac{i}{n}\le t}|k^n_{(i-2)/n} - k^n_{(i-1)/n}| + ||Q|| \max_{\frac{i}{n}\le t}|y_{(i-1)/n} - y_{i/n}| \\ &\le \frac{||Q||}{1 - ||Q||}\omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0,t]), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_t^2 &= \sup_{s\le t} |F^n(k^n)_s - F(k^n)_s| \\ &\le \sup_{s\le t} |[Q^T k_s^n - y_s^{(n)}]^+ - [Q^T k_s^n - y_s]^+| \\ &\le \sup_{s\le t} |y_s^{(n)} - y_s| = \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y,[0,t]), \\ I_t^3 &= \sup_{s\le t} |F(k^n)_s - F(k)_s| \le ||Q|| \sup_{s\le t} |k_s^n - k_s| \end{aligned}$$

And now we have:

$$\sup_{s\le t} |k_s^n - k_s| \le \frac{||Q||}{1-||Q||}\omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y,[0,t]) + \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y,[0,t]) + ||Q|| \sup_{s\le t} |k_s^n - k_s|$$

□

Corollary 5 *For every $y \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ we have*

$$\sup_{s\le t} |x_s^n - x_s| + \sup_{s\le t} |k_s^n - k_s| \to 0.$$

Theorem 6 *There exist a constant $\mathcal{C} > 0$ depending only on Q such that for every $y^1, y^2 \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0^1, y_0^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$:*

$$\sup_{s\le t} |k_s^{1,n} - k_s^{2,n}| + \sup_{s\le t} |x_s^{1,n} - x_s^{2,n}| \le \mathcal{C} \sup_{s\le t} |y_s^1 - y_s^2|.$$

Proof. Like in previous theorem we only need to proof first term of theorem. The second we obtain from (3.1).

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s\le t} |k_s^{1,n} - k_s^{2,n}| &= \sup_{s\le t} |F^n(k^{1,n,(n-)})_s - F^n(k^{2,n,(n-)})_s| \\ &= \sup_{s\le t} |[Q^T k_s^{1,n,(n-)} - y_s^{1,(n)}]^+ - [Q^T k_s^{2,n,(n-)} - y_s^{2,(n)}]^+| \\ &\le \|Q^T\| \max_{\frac{i}{n}\le t} |k_{(i-1)/n}^{1,n} - k_{(i-1)/n}^{2,n}| + \max_{\frac{i}{n}\le t} |y_{i/n}^1 - y_{i/n}^2| \\ &\le \|Q^T\| \sup_{s\le t} |k_s^{1,n} - k_s^{2,n}| + \sup_{s\le t} |y_s^1 - y_s^2| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{s\le t} |k_s^{1,n} - k_s^{2,n}| \le \frac{1}{1-\|Q^T\|} \sup_{s\le t} |y_s^1 - y_s^2|.$$

□

Easy corollary:

Corollary 7 *There exists a constant $\mathcal{C} > 0$ such that for every $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$:*

$$k_t^n \le \mathcal{C} \sup_{s\le t} |y_s| < +\infty.$$

From previous Theorems we can obtain convergent for continous functions. In the next lemma and theorem we formalize this observation.

Lemma 8 *Let* $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+ , \mathbb{R}^d)$*,* $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ *has the form*

$$y_t = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} y_{t_i} \mathbf{1}_{[t_i,t_{i+1})}(t), \tag{3.6}$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots$*, then:*

$$x^n_t \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} x_t \tag{3.7}$$

for $t \neq t_i$*,* $i \in \mathbb{N}$*, where* (x_t, k_t) *is a solution of the Skorokhod Problem for* y_t*.*

Proof. We proof lemma "by induction". It's well known's that, if y is of the form (3.6) then:

$$x_t = \begin{cases} y_0; & t \in [0, t_1) \\ \Pi_Q(x_{t_{i-1}} + \Delta y_{t_i}); & t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}),\ i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

1. So for $t \in [0, t_1)$ thesis is satisfy by definition.
2. By scheme (3.3):

$$x^n_{(i+1)/n} = \begin{cases} x^n_{i/n} + \Delta y_{(i+1)/n} + (I - Q^T) \quad [-x^n_{i/n} - \Delta y_{(i+1)/n}]^+, \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{for } i \text{ such that } \frac{i}{n} \le t_i < \frac{i+1}{n} \\ \\ x^n_{i/n} + (I - Q^T)[-x^n_{i/n}]^+, \qquad \text{for } i \text{ such that } t_i < \frac{i}{n} < t_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

   Between jumps in points t_i sequence $x^n_i = x^n_{i/n}$ has form like z_i (see from Appendix A (5.2)) starting from $z_0 = (x_{t_i} + \Delta y_{t_i})$.

   Then for $n \to +\infty$ from Corollary 23: $\lim_{n\to+\infty} x^n_t = \Pi_Q(x_{t_i} + \Delta y_{t_i})$ for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$.

□

In the next example we show that (3.7) can't be streightend to the covergent in the Skorokhod topology J_1.

Example 9 Let $d = 2$,

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ and } y_t = \begin{cases} (0, 0)^T; & t < 1 \\ (-1, -1)^T; & t \ge 1 \end{cases}.$$

The solution of Skorokhod problem are functions:

$$x_t = (0, 0)^T \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } k_t = \begin{cases} (0, 0)^T; & t < 1 \\ (2, 2)^T; & t \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, we use scheme (3.1) for this function and try to find the limit of (x^n, k^n) when n tends to infinity.

When we use scheme (3.1) we obtain:

$$k_t^n = \begin{cases} (0,0)^T; & t < 1 \\ (1,1)^T; & t \in [1, 1+\frac{1}{n}) \\ (2-\frac{1}{2^i}, 2-\frac{1}{2^i})^T; & t \in [1+\frac{i}{n}, 1+\frac{i+1}{n}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

and

$$x_t^n = \begin{cases} (0,0)^T; & t < 1 \\ (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})^T; & t \in [1, 1+\frac{1}{n}) \\ (-\frac{1}{2^{i+1}}, -\frac{1}{2^{i+1}})^T; & t \in [1+\frac{i}{n}, 1+\frac{i+1}{n}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} .$$

Since $\sup_{t\le 2} |x_t^n| = \frac{1}{2}$ the solution $x^n \not\longrightarrow x$ in J_1.

Corollary 10 *If y satisfy assumption of Lemma 8, then:*

$$(x^n, k^n) \longrightarrow (x, k) \text{ in } (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S) \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. From Jakubowski [11] Lemma 2.14. □

Theorem 11 *If $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, then*

$$(x^n, k^n) \longrightarrow (x, k) \text{ in } (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S) \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. For all $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $\epsilon > 0$ exist $y^\epsilon \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying assumption of Lemma 8 such that $\sup_{s\le t} |y_s^\epsilon - y_s| \le \epsilon$.

Let pair (x^ϵ, k^ϵ) be a solution of the Skorokhod problem for y^ϵ. Then from Lemma 8:

$$(x^{\epsilon,n}, k^{\epsilon,n}) \longrightarrow (x^\epsilon, k^\epsilon)) \text{ in } (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S)$$

to show thesis we need that:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \longrightarrow 0} \sup_n \sup_{s\le t} |k_s^{\epsilon,n} - k_s^n| = 0$$

From Theorem 6 we have:

$$\sup_{s\le t} |k_s^{\epsilon,n} - k_s^n| \le \mathcal{C} \sup_{s\le t} |y_s^{\epsilon(n)} - y_s^{(n)}| \le \mathcal{C}\epsilon$$

□

Remark 12 *If $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, then $x^n \longrightarrow x$ for continuity point of y and $\{x^n\}$ is relatisvely S-compact.*

4 Fast approximation scheme for SDE

Let Z be a $((\mathcal{F}_t))$-adapted semimartingale. Let us recall that pair (X, K) of $((\mathcal{F}_t))$-adapted processes is called *strong solution* of (1.1) if (X, K) is a solution to the Skorokhod problem associated with the semimartingale:

$$Y_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-})dZ_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{4.1}$$

Remark 13 *If σ is Lipschitz continuous, then there exist a unique strong solution to the SDE (1.1).*

Using formulas (3.1) we can define "fast" scheme for SDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_0^n &= X_0, \quad K_0^n = 0, \\ K_{(i+1)/n}^n &= [Q^T K_{i/n}^n - (X_{i/n}^n + \sigma(X_{i/n}^n)(Z_{(i+1)/n} - Z_{i/n})]^+ \vee K_{i/n}^n, \\ x_{(i+1)/n}^n &= X_{i/n}^n + \sigma(X_{i/n}^n)(Z_{(i+1)/n} - Z_{i/n}) + (1 - Q^T)K_{(i+1)/n}^n, \\ (X_t^n, K_t^n) &= (X_{i/n}^n, K_{i/n}^n) \quad t \in [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 14 *Assume there exist stoping times $\{\tau_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that: $0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \ldots$ and $\{Z_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. If Z is semimartingale such*

$$Z_t = Z_i$$

for $t \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}),\ i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ then

$$X_t^n \longrightarrow X_t \quad for\ t \neq t_i. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. We can write formula for X_t:

$$X_t = \begin{cases} X_0 : & t \in [0, \tau_1) \\ \Pi_Q(X_{\tau_{i-1}} + \sigma(X_{\tau_{i-1}})\Delta Z_{\tau_i}) : & t \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}),\ i \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

The rest of proof is the same like in Lemma 8. We only need to change $\Delta y_{(i+1)/n}^{(n)}$ by $\sigma(X_{\tau_{i-1}})\Delta Z_{\tau_i}$. □

Theorem 15 *Assume that σ is Lipschitz continuous, then*

$$(X^n, K^n) \underset{\mathcal{P}}{\longrightarrow} (X, K) \quad in\ (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof.

$$\forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{Z^\epsilon} \sup_{s \leq t} |Z_s - Z_s^\epsilon| \leq \epsilon$$

From Lemma 14

$$(X^{\epsilon n}, K^{\epsilon n}) \longrightarrow (X^\epsilon, K^\epsilon) \quad in(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S)$$

To proof we need:

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_n P(\sup_{s\le t}|X^{\epsilon n}_s - X^n_s| > \eta) = 0 \quad \forall_{\eta>0}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{s\le t}|X^{\epsilon n}_s - X^n_s| &\le \mathcal{C}\sup_{s\le t}|Y^{\epsilon n}_s - Y^n_s| \\
&= \mathcal{C}\sup_{s\le t}|\int_0^s \sigma(X^n_{u-})dZ^{(n)}_u - \int_0^s \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_{u-})dZ^{\epsilon,(n)}_u| \\
&\le \mathcal{C}\sup_{s\le t}|\int_0^s \sigma(X^n_{u-})dZ^{(n)}_u - \int_0^s \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_{u-})dZ^{(n)}_u| \\
&+ \mathcal{C}\sup_{s\le t}|\int_0^s \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_{u-})dZ^{(n)}_u - \int_0^s \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_{u-})dZ^{\epsilon,(n)}_u| \\
&= \mathcal{C}\sup_{s\le t}|\int_0^s (\sigma(X^n_{u-}) - \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_{u-}))dZ^{(n)}_u| + \mathcal{C}\sup_{s\le t}|H^{\epsilon,n}_s|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{\epsilon,n}_t &= \int_0^t \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_{s-})dZ^{(n)}_s - \int_0^t \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_{s-})dZ^{\epsilon,(n)}_s \\
&= \int_0^t \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_{s-})d(Z^{(n)}_s - Z^{\epsilon,(n)}_s) \\
&= \sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_t)(Z^{(n)}_t - Z^{\epsilon,(n)}_t) - \int_0^t (Z^{(n)}_{s-} - Z^{\epsilon,(n)}_{s-})d\sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_s) \\
&\quad -[\sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_t), (Z^{(n)}_t - Z^{\epsilon,(n)}_t)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$[\sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_t), (Z^{(n)}_t - Z^{\epsilon,(n)}_t)] \le ([\sigma(X^{\epsilon n}_t)])^{1/2}([(Z^{(n)}_t - Z^{\epsilon,(n)}_t)])^{1/2}$$

$$X^{\epsilon n}_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X^{\epsilon^n_{s-}})dZ^{\epsilon,n}_s + (1-Q^T)K^{\epsilon n}_t$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{s\le t}|X^{\epsilon n}_t| &\le |X_0| + \sup_{s\le t}|\int_0^t \sigma(X^{\epsilon_{u-}n})dZ^{\epsilon,n}_u| + (1-Q^T)\sup_{s\le t}|K^{\epsilon^n_s}| \\
&\le |X_0| + 2\mathcal{C}\sup_{s\le t}|\int_0^t \sigma(X^{\epsilon^n_{u-}})dZ^{\epsilon,n}_u|
\end{aligned}$$

From Gronwall lemma we obtain, that $\{\sup|X^{\epsilon^n}|\}$ is bounded for σ satisfying Lipshitz condition. So, if $\{\sigma(|X^{\epsilon^n})\}$ is bounded in probability, then

$$\int_0^t \sigma(X^{\epsilon_{s-}n})dZ^{\epsilon,n}_s$$

satisfies UT condition.

Because $\{K^{\epsilon^n}\}$ is bounded in probability, this means that it also satysfies UT. $\{X^{\epsilon^n}\}$ satisfies UT as a sum of two proceses that satisfy UT. So, $\sigma(X^{\epsilon^n})$ satisfies UT for $\sigma \in C^2$. $\square$

5 Fast approximation scheme for diffusion

Consider SDE with reflection on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ of the form

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)dW_s + (1 - Q^T)K_t, \tag{5.1}$$

where W is d-dimension Wiener process, and $b : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 16 *If b and σ are Lipschitz continuous then there exists a unique strong solution of the SDE (5.1).*

Let us define:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} X_0^n = & \!\!\! X_0, \quad K_0^n = 0, \\ K^n_{(i+1)/n} = & \!\!\! [Q^T K^n_{i/n} - (X^n_{i/n} + b(X^n_{i/n})\frac{1}{n} + \sigma(X^n_{i/n})(W_{(i+1)/n} - W_{i/n})]^+ \vee K^n_{i/n}, \\ x^n_{(i+1)/n} = & \!\!\! X^n_{i/n} + b(X^n_{i/n})\frac{1}{n} + \sigma(X^n_{i/n})(W_{(i+1)/n} - W_{i/n}) + (1 - Q^T)K^n_{(i+1)/n}, \\ (X^n_t, K^n_t) = & \!\!\! \Big(X^n_{i/n}, K^n_{i/n}\Big) \quad t \in [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}). \end{array} \right.$$

We can see that X^n satisfies equation:

$$X_t^n = X_0^n + \int_0^t b(X^n_{s-})d\rho^n_s + \int_0^t \sigma(X^n_{s-})dW_s^{(n)} + (1 - Q^T)K^n_t. \tag{5.2}$$

Theorem 17

$$E \sup_{s \le t} |X^n_s - X_s|^{2p} = \mathcal{O}((\frac{\ln n}{n})^p) \tag{5.3}$$

Proof.

Lemma 18

$$\sup_n E \sup_{s \le t} |X^n_s|^{2p} < +\infty \tag{5.4}$$

Proof.

$$\sup_{s \le t} |X^n_s - X^n_0| \le \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \le t} |\int_0^s \sigma(X^n_{u-})dW_u^{(n)} + \int_0^s b(X^n_{u-})d\rho^n_u| \tag{5.5}$$

From this we derive:

$$\sup_{s \le t} |X^n_s - X^n_0|^{2p} \le 2\mathcal{C} \sup_{s \le t} |\int_0^s \sigma(X^n_{u-})dW_u^{(n)}|^{2p} + 2\mathcal{C} \sup_{s \le t} |\int_0^s b(X^n_{u-})d\rho^n_u|^{2p}$$

Now because b and σ are Lipschitz we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E\sup_{s\le t}|X^n_s - X^n_0|^{2p} \\ \le\ & 2\mathcal{C}E(\int_0^t \sigma(X^n_{s-})dW^{(n)}_s)^{2p} + 2\mathcal{C}E(\int_0^t |b(X^n_s|ds)^{2p} \\ \le\ & 2\mathcal{C}E\int_0^t \sigma^{2p}(X^n_{s-})d\rho^n_s + 2\mathcal{C}E\int_0^t b^{2p}(X^n_{s\text{-}})d\rho^n_s \\ \le\ & \mathcal{C}E\int_0^t ((X^n_{s-})^{2p}+1)d\rho^n_s \\ \le\ & \mathcal{C}(1+\int_0^t E\sup_{u\le s}|X^n_u - X^n_0|^{2p}ds) \end{aligned}$$

From Gronwall lemma we have the thesis. □

$$X^n_t - X_t = \int_0^t (\sigma(X^n_{s-})-\sigma(X_{s-}))dW^{(n)}_s + \int_0^t (b(X^n_{s-})-b(X_{s-}))d\rho^n_s + (1-Q^T)(K^n_t - K_t))$$

because b and σ are Lipschitz then:

$$E\sup_{s\le t}|X^n_s - X_s|^{2p} \le 2\mathcal{C}(E\sup_{s\le t}|K^n_s - K_s|^{2p} + \int_0^t E\sup_{u\le s}|X^n_u - X_u|^{2p}ds$$

From Gronwall Lemma:

$$E\sup_{s\le t}|X^n_s - X_s|^{2p} \le 2\mathcal{C}E\sup_{s\le t}|K^n_s - K_s|^{2p}$$

In the same way we can proof that

$$E\sup_{s\le t}|X^n_s|^2 \le \mathcal{C}E\sup_{s\le t}|K^n_s|^2$$

Because

$$K^n_t = \sup_{s\le t}[Q^T K^{n,(n-)} - (X^n_0 + \int_0^s b(X^n_{u-})d\rho^n_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X^n_{u-})dW^{(n)}_u)]^+$$

And

$$K_t = \sup_{s\le t}[Q^T K_s - (X^n_0 + \int_0^s b(X_{u-})d\rho_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-})dW_u)]^+$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K^n_t - K_t = \\ & \sup_{s\le t}[Q^T K^{n,(n-)}_s - (X^n_0 + \int_0^s b(X^n_{u-})d\rho^n_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X^n_{u-})dW^{(n)}_u)]^+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\sup_{s\le t}[Q^T K^n_s - (X^n_0 + \int_0^s b(X^n_{u-})d\rho^n_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X^n_{u-})dW^{(n)}_u)]^+ \\
& +\sup_{s\le t}[Q^T K^n_s - (X^n_0 + \int_0^s b(X^n_{u-})d\rho^n_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X^n_{u-})dW^{(n)}_u)]^+ \\
& -\sup_{s\le t}[Q^T K^n_s - (X_0 + \int_0^s b(X_{u-})d\rho_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-})dW_u)]^+ \\
& +\sup_{s\le t}[Q^T K^n_s - (X_0 + \int_0^s b(X_{u-})d\rho_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-})dW_u)]^+ \\
& -\sup_{s\le t}[Q^T K_s - (X_0 + \int_0^s b(X_{u-})d\rho_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-})dW_u)]^+ \\
& = I^1_t + I^2_t + I^3_t
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I^1_t &\le \sup_{s\le t}[K^{n,(n-)}_s - K^n_s| \\
&\le \sup_{s\le t}|\sigma(X^n_{s-})(W_s - W^{(n)}_s) + b(X^n_{s-})(s-\rho^n_s)| \\
I^2_t &\le \sup_{u\le s}|\int_0^s (b(X^n_{u-}) - b(X_{u-}))d\rho^n_u + \int_0^s((\sigma(X^n_{u-}) - (\sigma(X_{u-}))dW^{(n)}_u \\
I^3_t &\le \sup_{s\le t}|K^n_s - K_s|
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\sup_{s\le t}|K_s - K^n_s|^{2p} \\
\le\ & \mathcal{C}(E\sup_{s\le t}|W_s - W^{(n)}_s|^{2p} + \int_0^s E\sup_{u\le s}|K_u - K^n_u|^{2p}du) \\
\le\ & \mathcal{C}E(\omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(W,[0,t]))^{2p} \\
=\ & \mathcal{O}((\frac{\ln n}{n})^p)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix A: Π_Q projection

Finding the projection π on the domain D is the standard techniquie to obtain solution of the Skorokhod Problem. In [3] we define projection on the orthant $\mathbb{R}^d_+$:

Remark 19 $\Pi_Q : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d_+$ *is defined by formula:*

$$\Pi_Q(z) = z + (I - Q^T)\bar{r},$$

where $\bar{r}$ satisfy equation:

$$\bar{r} = [Q^T\bar{r} - z]^+.$$

In that definition, we have to find "the fixed point" $\bar{r}$. Typically, we use approximation sequence of $\bar{r}$ and $\bar{z}$:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{r}_0 & = & 0, \\ \bar{z}_0 & = & z, \\ \bar{r}_{n+1} & = & [Q^T\bar{r}_n - z]^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bar{z}_{n+1} & = & z + (I - Q^T)\bar{r}_{n+1} \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

It's easy to see that

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} \bar{r}_n = \bar{r}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} \bar{z}_n = \Pi_Q(z).$$

Using simple calculation, we can obtain equivalent formula for $\bar{r}_{n+1}$:

Remark 20

$$\bar{r}_{n+1} = [Q^T\bar{r}_n - z]^+ = [-(z + (I - Q^T)\bar{r}_n) + \bar{r}_n]^+ = [\bar{z}_n + \bar{r}_n]^+$$

Now we define another sequence starting from the same point:

$$\begin{cases} z_0 & = & z, \\ z_{n+1} & = & z_n + (I - Q^T)[-z_n]^+ \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Once again simple calculation lead to obtain an equivalent formula:

Remark 21

$$z_{n+1} = z_n + (I - Q^T)[-z_n]^+ = z + (I - Q^T)\sum_{i=0}^{n}[-z_i]^+$$

Sequences z_n and $\bar{z}_n$ look diffrent, but in fact thwy are only diffrent representation of the same sequence.

Lemma 22

$$\forall_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \, \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \quad z_n = \bar{z}_n \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. The proof will be done using induction. For $n = 0$ we have:

$$z_0 = z = \bar{z}_0.$$

Now assume that $z_i = \bar{z}_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$. Then from (5.1) and Remark 20 we have:

$$\bar{r}_i = \bar{r}_{i-1} + [-\bar{z}_{i-1}]^+ \tag{5.4}$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, n$.

Now we check:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{z}_{n+1} - z_{n+1} &= z + (I - Q^T)\bar{r}_{n+1} - z_n + (I - Q^T)\,[-z_n]^+ \\
&= \bar{z}_n + (I - Q^T)\,([-\bar{z}_n + \bar{r}_i]^+ - \bar{r}_n) - z_n + (I - Q^T)\,[-z_n]^+ \\
&= (\bar{z}_n - z_n) + (I - Q^T)\,([-\bar{z}_n + \bar{r}_n]^+ - \bar{r}_n - [-z_n]^+) \\
&= (I - Q^T)\,([-\bar{z}_n + \bar{r}_n]^+ - \bar{r}_n - [-\bar{z}_n]^+)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define:

$$R_n^j = [-\bar{z}_n^j + \bar{r}_n^j]^+ - \bar{r}_n^j - [-\bar{z}_n^j]^+, \quad j = 1, \ldots, d. \tag{5.5}$$

It is easy to check that if $\bar{z}_n^j \leq 0$ then $R_n^j = 0$. To finish the proof we need to check whether: $R_n^j = 0$ when $\bar{z}_n^j > 0$.

Without the loss of generality, we can assume that $j = 1$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{z}_n^1 &= z_n^1 \\
&= z_{n-1}^1 + [-z_{n-1}^1]^+ - q_{21}[-z_{n-1}^2]^+ + \ldots - q_{d1}[-z_{n-1}^d]^+ \\
&\leq z_{n-1}^1 + [-z_{n-1}^1]^+ \\
&= \bar{z}_{n-1}^1 + [-\bar{z}_{n-1}^1]^+
\end{aligned}$$

So, if $\bar{z}_n^1 > 0$ then $\bar{z}_{n-1}^1 > 0$. In the same way we can proof that $\bar{z}_i^1 > 0$ for $i = n-1, \ldots, 0$. If $\bar{z}_0^1 > 0$ then $\bar{r}_1^1 = 0$ and by (5.4) we have that $\bar{r}_n^1 = 0$. So $R_n^1 = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 23

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} z_n = \Pi_Q(z).$$

References

[1] R.J. Chitashvili and N.L. Lazrieva, *Strong solutions of stochastic differential equations with boundary conditions*, Stochastics 5, (1981), 225–309.

[2] H. Chen and A. Mandelbaum, *Stochastic discrete flow newtworks: diffusion approximations and bottlenecks*, Ann. Probab. **19**, (1991), 1463–1519.

[3] K. Czarkowski and L. Słomiński, *Approximation of solutions od SDE's with oblique reflection on an orthant*, Probability and Mathematical Statistic, Vol 22, Fasc. 1 (2002), 29–49

[4] C. Dellacherie and P.A. Meyer, *Probabilités et Potentiel*, Hermann, Paris 1980.

[5] P. Dupuis and H. Ishii, *On Lipschitz continuity of the solution mapping to the Skorokhod problem, with applications*, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. 35 (1991), 31–62.

[6] P. Dupuis and H. Ishi, *SDEs with oblique reflection on nonsmooth domains*, Ann. Probab., **21**, (1993), 554–580.

[7] P. Dupuis and K. Ramanan, *Convex duality and the Skorokhod problem. I* , Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **115**, (1999), 153–197.

[8] P. Dupuis and K. Ramanan, *Convex duality and the Skorokhod problem. II* , Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **115**, (1999), 197–237.

[9] J. M. Harrison and M. I. Reiman, *Reflected Brownian motion on an orthant*, Ann. Probab., **9**, (1981), 302–308.

[10] J.M. Harrison and R.J. Williams, *A multiclass closed queueing network with unconventional heavy traffic behavior*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **6**, (1996), 1–47.

[11] A. Jakubowski, *A non-Skorohod topology on the Skorohod space*, EJP **2**, (1997), 1-21.

[12] A. Jakubowski, J. Mémin and G. Pages, *Convergence en loi des suites d'intégrales stochastiques sur l'espace D^1 de Skorokhod*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **81** (1989), 111–137.

[13] O. Kella, *Stability and nonproduct form of stochastic fluid networks with Lévy inputs*, Ann. Appl. Probab., **6**, (1996), 186–199.

[14] T.G. Kurtz and P. Protter, *Weak limit theorems for stochastic integrals and stochastic differential equations*, Ann. Probab., **19**, (1991), 1035–1070.

[15] T.G. Kurtz and P. Protter, *Wong-Zakai Corrections, Random Evolutions, and Simulation Schemes for SDE's*, Proc. Conference in Honor Moshe Zakai 65th Birthday, Haifa, Stochastic Analysis (1991), 331–346.

[16] D. Lépingle, *Un schéma d'Euler pour équations différentielles stochastiques réfléchies*, C. R. A. Sc. Paris 316 (1993), 601–605.

[17] Y. Liu, *Numerical approches to stochastic differential equations with boundary conditions*, Thesis, Purdue University, 1993.

[18] J. Mémin and L. Słomiński, *Condition UT et stabilité en loi des solutions d'équations différentielles stochastiques*, Sém. de Probab. XXV, Lect. Notes in Math. 1485 Springer–Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1991, 162–177.

[19] M. Métivier, J. Pellaumail, *Une formule de majoration pour martingales*, C. R. A. Sc. Paris, Sér. A, **285**, (1977), 685–688.

[20] R. Pettersson, *Approximations for stochastic differential equation with reflecting convex boundaries*, Stochastic Process. Appl., **59**, (1995), 295–308.

[21] R. Pettersson, *Penalization schemes for reflecting stochastic differential equations*, Bernoulli, **3(4)**, (1997), 403–414.

[22] P. Protter, *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin 1990.

[23] M.A. Shashiashvili, *On the variation of the difference of singular components in the Skorokhod problem and on stochastic differential systems in a half–space*, Stochastics 24 (1988), 151–169.

[24] L. Słomiński, *Stability of strong solutions of stochastic differential equations* Stochastics Process. Appl., **31**, (1989), 173–202.

[25] L. Słomiński, *On approximation of solutions of multidimensional SDE's with reflecting boundary conditions*, Stochastics Process. Appl., **50**, (1994), 197–219.

[26] L. Słomiński, *Stability of stochastic differential equations driven by general semimartingales*, Diss. Math., **CCCXLIX**, (1996), 1–113.

[27] L. Słomiński, *Euler's approximations of solutions of SDE's with reflecting boundary*, Stochastics Process. Appl., **94**, (2001), 317–337.

[28] C. Stricker, *Loi de semimartingales et criteres de compacité*, Sém. de Probab. XIX, Lect. Notes in Math. 1123 Springer–Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1985.

[29] R.J. Williams and S.R.S Varadhan, *Brownian motion in a wedge with oblique reflection*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12**, (1985), 147–225.

[30] R.J. Williams, *Semimartingale reflecting Brownian motions in the orthant*, In Stochastc Networks (F.P. Kelly and R.J. Williams, eds), Springer, New York, (1995).

[31] K. Yamada, *Diffusion approximation for open state–dependent queueing networks in the heavy traffic situation*, Ann. Appl. Probab., **5**, (1995), 958–982.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Nicholas Copernicus University
ul. Chopina 12/18,
87–100 Toruń,
Poland